

### EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **UNITED STATE Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	АП	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/536,345 09/29	/95 TEMPLE	S	27754/32937
_	MM61/0813 ¬	EXAMINER	
JAMES P ZELLER MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN		DALAKIS,M	
MURRAY & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606-6402		2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

DATE MAILED:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

08/13/98

proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/536,345

Applicant(s)

Temple et al.

Examiner

Michael Dalakis

Group Art Unit 2851



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 22, 1998	·
∑ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 34-45, 59-64, 67-69, 72, and 73	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 20-33, 46-58, 65, 66, 70, 71, a	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 34-45, 59-64, 67-69, 72, and 73 is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Lapproved Ldisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	
	of the priority documents have been
	umber) 08/167-89/1
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	Vo(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	148
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/536,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/167,894, filed on February 15,1994.

#### Election/Restriction

2. Claims 20-33, 46-58, 65-66, 70-71 and 74 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 7.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 34-45 and 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The independent claims 34 and 59 are confusing and not understood. The phrase "poled normal thereto" could refer to a number of directions; it is not clear as to which direction this is referring. The term "channel facing surfaces" is likewise unclear. The term "the channel spacing" lacks antecedent basis in the claims. The phrase "disposed parallel with and...closure sheet to the channels" is unclear and not understood by the examiner. In general, the independent claims seem unorganized and written in a hodge-podge manner, making them difficult to understand.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 34-45, 59-64, 67-69 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Temple ('028) in view of Bartky et al. Temple teaches a high density multichannel array, electrically pulsed droplet deposition apparatus comprising a plurality of modules

Art Unit: 2851

each including a layer of piezo-material poled normal thereto, a channel for ink droplets, separating walls, electrodes, a channel closure sheet, a nozzle plate and means for supplying liquid to the channels. Bartky teaches a multi-channel array comprising a plurality of modules including a channel and separating wall wherein separating wall surface has an electrode which causes the wall to eject droplets upon shearing, a channel cover sheet having electrodes opposite the channel, drive circuits connected to electrodes, and a manifold. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Bartky into those of Temple, for the motivation, as suggested by Bartky, of providing an ink jet actuator having improved efficiency.

#### Conclusion

6. This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/536,345. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Dalakis at telephone number (703)305-3307. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (703)308-1436. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-34[31,32]. Any inquiry of a general nature as to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703)308-1782.

Åugust 12, 1998

PRIMARY EXAMINER